



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



 \mathcal{M}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/543,268	04/05/2000		Todd M. Boyce	285-79 CON	6472
7	590	05/28/2003			
Michael P Di			EXAMINER		
Dilworth & Ba 333 Earle Ovin	gton Blvd		PREBILIC, PAUL B		
Uniondale, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3738	7
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>	•		an:				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	200				
Advisory Action	09/543,268	BOYCE ET AL.					
, (a. 1. 5. 1. 5. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Paul B. Prebilic	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. asion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,9-21,23-43,45-61,63-80 and 8	<u>32-134</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		Dr. OF	200				
		Paul B. Prei Primary Exar	-				





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It was not persuasive in that the claim language does not distinquish the claimed invention from that of Lyle. Furthermore, the declaration. The declaration was not sufficient to show that the Applicant had completed the invention, that it worked for its intended purpose, and that it had the claimed properties such as compression strength.